S.B.CR. MISC. II BAIL APPLICATION NO.3505/06

Date : 17.08.2006

HON'BLE MR. SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK, J.

Mr. Sudhir Saraswat for the applicant.
Mr. Vishnu Kachhawaha, Public Prosecutor for State.
Mr. S.S. Panwar for complainant.

I have heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for the complainant and carefully gone through the impugned order.

The allegation against the applicant is under Section 452 IPC.

It is stated at Bar that the parties are in near relation and they have reached to compromise.

Learned counsel for the complainant Mr. S.S. Panwar has confirmed the fact of the compromise entered between the parties. Complainant Bhawani Singh is present in the Court and he has been identified by his counsel Mr. Panwar.

I have considered the submissions made before me and carefully gone through the material available on record.

Taking into consideration the overall facts and circumstances of the present case, I think it just and proper to grant anticipatory bail to the applicant. Accordingly, it is directed that in the event of arrest of applicant Bharat Singh son of Khangar Singh by I.O./S.H.O. in FIR No.61/2006 P.S.Anandpur Kalu, District Pali, he shall be released on bail provided he furnishes a personal bond in the sum of Rs.30,000/- alongwith two sound and solvent sureties in the sum of Rs.15,000/- each to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer on the following conditions:-

(i)That he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;

(ii)That he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer and;

(iii)That he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.

(SATYA PRAKASH PATHAK), J.

vijayant